P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,422	08/14/2003	Nicola Chong-White	021318-002500US	9868
20350 TOWNSEND	0/642,422 08/14/2003 Nicola Chong-White 021318-002500US  0350 7590 11/07/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR	INER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			RIDER, JUSTIN W	
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ		2626	
·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,422	CHONG-WHITE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin W. Rider	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant properties of the properties of th	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i. iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 August 2007</u>.</li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 41-76 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) 66-76 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 41 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 42-65 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

# Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action mailed 09 May 2007, applicant submitted a response filed 09 August 2007, in which the applicant added claims 41-76 without adding new matter. Claims 1-40 have been cancelled.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41-76 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Double Patenting**

3. Claim 41 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of copending Application No. 10/660,468.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claims disclose a transcoding process on a source bitstream coded in a source voice codec that comprises: the unpacking of the source bitstream to determine parameters; and a rate and frame class determination for the destination voice codec.

The only differing factor in the instant application is the use of a buffer coupled to the source bitstream unpacker to store parameter information. However, it is noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of computing and/or signal coding at the time of invention to use a buffer as a well known component for storing data to be retrieved at a later date.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,422

Art Unit: 2626

More specifically, Tsuchinaga et al. (US Patent No. 7,092,875) referred to as

Tsuchinaga hereinafter, discloses the use of buffers in order to store parameters extracted from

input sources (col. 17, lines 10-16 and 22-27).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 66-76 are allowed.

Claims 42-65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 42-76 are directed toward a method for classifying frames and determining coding rates for use in a destination codec within a transcoding process; all of which is done without decoding or reconstructing the input voice signal. **Gao** and **Zinser**, **Jr.** make coding rate and frame class decisions based on an input analog signal, which appears to be a precursor to the language in question in the current claimed invention. The above references are dealing with determining characteristics for an initial coding for transmission and not specifically the frame classification and rate determination of an input bitstream within the scope of a transcoding scheme. The current claim 66 also deals with a set of intermediate parameters, which are also associated with previous frames within an input bitstream.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,422

Art Unit: 2626

Additionally, claims 42-65 recite the use of unquantizers and code separators in conjunction with the above in order to further make rate and frame classification determinations based on intermediate voice data.

These features are used in order to train as well as implement features within a transcoding scheme that applies a smart frame and rate classifier which also serves to reduce computational complexity due to the ability to exploit the relationship of available parameters to perform both tasks efficiently.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin W. Rider whose telephone number is (571) 270-1068. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,422 Page 5

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J.W.R. 25 October 2007

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000